# Distant Supervision for Relation Extraction without Labeled Data

#### Joshua Ehrlich and Michael Stock-Matthews

# Background

• Goal is to extract relations between entities



• Previous work uses

o supervised learning (expensive to get good data)
o unsupervised learning (limited control over results)
o bootstrapping (semantic drift)

### **Distant Supervision**

- Collect training data based on sentences containing entities in known relations
- Extract features from these sentences
- (combine features from sentences that have the same two entities)
- Use this to train a multiclass logistic regression classifier

#### Freebase



- Freebase is a database of binary ordered relations between entitites
- Collected from many sources including text boxes from Wikipedia
- Merged reverse relations and duplicates for a total of 1.8 million instances

#### Features

- Lexical
- Syntactic
- Named Entity tag

Feature type	Left window	NE1	Middle	NE2	Right window
Lexical	[]	PER	[was/VERB born/VERB in/CLOSED]	LOC	[]
Lexical	[Astronomer]	PER	[was/VERB born/VERB in/CLOSED]	LOC	[,]
Lexical	[#PAD#, Astronomer]	PER	[was/VERB born/VERB in/CLOSED]	LOC	[, Missouri]
Syntactic		PER	$[\Uparrow s \text{ was } \Downarrow_{pred} \text{ born } \Downarrow_{mod} \text{ in } \Downarrow_{pcomp-n}]$	LOC	[]
Syntactic	[Edwin Hubble $\Downarrow_{lex-mod}$ ]	PER	$[\Uparrow_s \text{ was } \Downarrow_{pred} \text{ born } \Downarrow_{mod} \text{ in } \Downarrow_{pcomp-n}]$	LOC	[]
Syntactic	[Astronomer $\Downarrow_{lex-mod}$ ]	PER	$[\Uparrow_s \text{ was } \Downarrow_{pred} \text{ born } \Downarrow_{mod} \text{ in } \Downarrow_{pcomp-n}]$	LOC	[]
Syntactic		PER	$[\Uparrow_s \text{ was } \Downarrow_{pred} \text{ born } \Downarrow_{mod} \text{ in } \Downarrow_{pcomp-n}]$	LOC	$[\downarrow_{lex-mod},]$
Syntactic	[Edwin Hubble $\Downarrow_{lex-mod}$ ]	PER	$[\Uparrow_s \text{ was } \Downarrow_{pred} \text{ born } \Downarrow_{mod} \text{ in } \Downarrow_{pcomp-n}]$	LOC	$[\downarrow_{lex-mod},]$
Syntactic	[Astronomer $\Downarrow_{lex-mod}$ ]	PER	$[\Uparrow_s \text{ was } \Downarrow_{pred} \text{ born } \Downarrow_{mod} \text{ in } \Downarrow_{pcomp-n}]$	LOC	$[\downarrow_{lex-mod},]$
Syntactic		PER	$[\Uparrow_s \text{ was } \Downarrow_{pred} \text{ born } \Downarrow_{mod} \text{ in } \Downarrow_{pcomp-n}]$	LOC	[↓ <sub>inside</sub> Missouri]
Syntactic	[Edwin Hubble $\Downarrow_{lex-mod}$ ]	PER	$[\Uparrow_s \text{ was } \Downarrow_{pred} \text{ born } \Downarrow_{mod} \text{ in } \Downarrow_{pcomp-n}]$	LOC	[↓ <sub>inside</sub> Missouri]
Syntactic	[Astronomer $\Downarrow_{lex-mod}$ ]	PER	$[\Uparrow_s \text{ was } \Downarrow_{pred} \text{ born } \Downarrow_{mod} \text{ in } \Downarrow_{pcomp-n}]$	LOC	[↓ <sub>inside</sub> Missouri]

Table 3: Features for 'Astronomer Edwin Hubble was born in Marshfield, Missouri'.

#### Dataset

• Unstructured text from Wikipedia

- tokenized into sentences by Metaweb Technologies
  sentences parsed by Minipar
- For training used 800,000 articles and a different 400,00 for testing
  - $\circ$  to generate negative examples randomly select entity pairs that are not in any relationship



### Evaluation

- Measuring precision of relation instances extracted
- Automatic evaluation using held-out evaluation



## Evaluation (continued)

#### • Human evaluation using Mechanical Turk

Relation name		100 instances			1000 instances		
		Lex	Both	Syn	Lex	Both	
/film/director/film	0.49	0.43	0.44	0.49	0.41	0.46	
/film/writer/film	0.70	0.60	0.65	0.71	0.61	0.69	
/geography/river/basin_countries	0.65	0.64	0.67	0.73	0.71	0.64	
/location/country/administrative_divisions	0.68	0.59	0.70	0.72	0.68	0.72	
/location/location/contains	0.81	0.89	0.84	0.85	0.83	0.84	
/location/us_county/county_seat	0.51	0.51	0.53	0.47	0.57	0.42	
/music/artist/origin	0.64	0.66	0.71	0.61	0.63	0.60	
/people/deceased_person/place_of_death	0.80	0.79	0.81	0.80	0.81	0.78	
/people/person/nationality	0.61	0.70	0.72	0.56	0.61	0.63	
/people/person/place_of_birth	0.78	0.77	0.78	0.88	0.85	0.91	
Average	0.67	0.66	0.69	0.68	0.67	0.67	

#### Discussion

- Extract high precision relationships
- Syntactic features are generally more useful although the combination may be better

## Critique

There is no comparison of results with previous work

 Unclear if these results are actually high precision

- Their claim that syntactic features are better is not clearly justified given the data
- Their explanation of their automatic evaluation and the results is unclear

### Questions

